

## Research and Professional Briefs

## Correlates of Fruit and Vegetable Intakes in US Children

BARBARA A. LORSON, MS, RD, LD; HUGO R. MELGAR-QUINONEZ, MD, PhD; CHRISTOPHER A. TAYLOR, PhD, RD, LD

**ABSTRACT**

The objective of this study was to assess the quality of the current intakes of fruits and vegetables compared to the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans* in US children and adolescents and identify factors related to low fruit and vegetable intake. This descriptive study examined differences in fruit and vegetable intakes by age, sex, ethnicity, poverty level, body mass index, and food security status utilizing data from the 1999-2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Six thousand five hundred thirteen children and adolescents ages 2 to 18 years, who were respondents to the 1999-2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Mean fruit and vegetable intakes were computed using 24-hour recalls for individuals and compared using analysis of variance. Leading contributors to fruit and vegetable intake were identified using frequency analysis. Children aged 2 to 5 years had significantly higher total fruit and juice intakes than 6- to 11- and 12- to 18-year-olds. Total vegetable and french fry intake was significantly higher among 12- to 18-year-old adolescents. Regarding sex differences, boys consumed significantly more fruit juice and french fries than girls. In addition, non-Hispanic African-American children and adolescents consumed significantly more dark-green vegetables and fewer mean deep-yellow vegetables than Mexican-American and non-Hispanic white children and adolescents. Total fruit consumption also differed significantly among race/ethnicities and household income. Children and adolescents most at risk for higher intakes of energy-dense fruits and vegetables (fruit juice and french fries) were generally boys, and adolescents, at risk for overweight or overweight and living in households below 350% of the poverty level.

*J Am Diet Assoc.* 2009;109:474-478.

*B. A. Lorson is a registered dietitian, and H. R. Melgar-Quinonez is an assistant professor, Department of Human Nutrition, and C. A. Taylor is an assistant professor, Division of Medical Dietetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus.*

*Address correspondence to: Hugo R. Melgar-Quinonez, MD, PhD, The Ohio State University, Department of Human Nutrition, 1787 Neil Ave, Columbus, OH 43210-1234. E-mail: melgar-quinonez.1@osu.edu*

*Manuscript accepted: August 18, 2008.*

*Copyright © 2009 by the American Dietetic Association.*

*0002-8223/09/10903-0007\$36.00/0*

*doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2008.11.022*

**F**ruit and vegetable intakes in children and adolescents in the United States are below recommended levels (1-6). Diets low in fruits and vegetables are likely low in essential nutrients and substances like phytochemicals, which may increase the risk for several diseases (7-9). Nutrient-dense fruits and vegetables, which are most strongly correlated with decreased risk for multiple chronic diseases, include green leafy, yellow/orange, and cruciferous vegetables, as well as citrus fruits (10-19); however, the most commonly consumed fruits and vegetables by Americans are tomatoes, potatoes (french-fried), bananas, oranges (juice), and iceberg lettuce (20,21).

The purpose of this study was to identify factors (eg, age, sex, race/ethnicity, body mass index, income, and food security status) significantly related to fruit and vegetable intakes in US children and adolescents (ages 2 to 18 years) using 1999-2002 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data, and to assess the sources of the current intakes of fruits and vegetables (22,23).

**METHODS**

Correlates of fruit and vegetable intake in US children and adolescents aged 2 to 18 years were assessed using 1999-2002 NHANES data. A final sample of 6,513 children and adolescents included those meeting the following criteria: aged between 2 and 18 years, food intake data available, and nonpregnant. The associations among fruit and vegetable intakes and sex, ethnicity, poverty level, weight status, and food security status were examined.

The dependent variables, fruit and vegetable intakes from 24-hour recalls, were measured using the US Department of Agriculture MyPyramid Equivalents Database (24). To identify the contribution of some groups of interest, vegetables consumed as french fries and fruit intakes from 100% fruit juice were generated for each analysis. Potato and fruit juice consumption have increased to contribute nearly one third of vegetable intakes and one quarter of fruit intakes, respectively, in the United States (6,25-27).

The factors examined for a relationship with fruit and vegetable intakes included age, sex, ethnicity, poverty level, weight status, and food security status. Age categories were created for preschool (age 2 to 5 years), young children (age 6 to 11 years), and adolescents (age 12 to 18 years). Race/ethnicity categories were Mexican American, non-Hispanic white, and non-Hispanic African American; those who were "other Hispanic" and "other race" were excluded from the analyses due to small sample sizes and difficulty in interpretation of the data. Household income was categorized into  $\leq 130\%$ , 130% to 350%, and  $>350\%$

of the federal poverty level. Weight status categories were created using body mass index percentile ranges for children: 5 to <85th percentile, 85th to <95th percentile, and >95th percentile (28), whereas food security classifications included fully food secure, marginally food secure, food insecure without hunger, and food insecure with hunger (29).

To identify factors related to fruit and vegetable intakes, we examined mean intakes in children and adolescents (ages 2 to 18 years) by sex, ethnicity, poverty level, weight status, and food security status. The leading contributors of total fruit and vegetable intakes were determined using the following formula (20):

$$\left( \sum \text{total fruit or vegetable cup-equivalents from each food group} \right) \div \left( \sum \text{total fruit or vegetable cup-equivalents from all foods} \right).$$

The mean proportion of total vegetables that were french fries and the mean proportion of total fruit that was juice were compared among various population subgroups. Finally, a logistic regression was conducted to identify correlates related to the likelihood of meeting recommendations on total fruit and vegetable intake on a given day. Those meeting recommended intakes of fruit and vegetables were classified using sedentary standards due to the lack of complete physical activity data for children and adolescents in the NHANES sample.

Pooled sample weights for the 4-year survey design were applied to yield a representative US population sample that adjusts for the complex sampling collection design of NHANES. SPSS Complex Samples (version 15.0, 2006, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analyses. We used means  $\pm$  standard error, frequency analyses for fruit and vegetable intakes, and percent contribution and logistic regression to examine the correlates of fruit and vegetable intakes.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The weighted sample of 6,513 children and adolescents contained 13.8% Mexican-American, 69.8% non-Hispanic white, and 16.4% non-Hispanic African-American individuals; 49% were girls. Seventy-five percent of the sample lived in households determined fully food secure, whereas 7.3% lived in marginally food secure households, 10.9% lived in households determined food insecure without hunger, and 6.2% lived in households determined food insecure with hunger. The mean intakes for both total vegetable and total fruit were 1.0 c per day.

As shown in Table 1, total vegetable consumption per day differed significantly across all age groups ( $P < 0.001$ ), although younger children consumed significantly more total fruit per day ( $P = 0.005$ ). Boys consumed significantly more total vegetables than girls (mean  $1.10 \pm 0.03$  and  $0.98 \pm 0.03$  c, respectively;  $P = 0.006$ ); however, total fruit intakes were not significantly different by sex. Total fruit intakes by Mexican Americans were significantly higher than those of non-Hispanic white children and adolescents ( $P = 0.035$ ). Total fruit intakes were significantly higher in children and adolescents living in households above 350% of the federal poverty level than households with incomes between 130% and 350% of the poverty-income ratio ( $P < 0.045$ ), although no differences

existed across income levels for vegetable intakes. Similarly, children and adolescents living in households determined food insecure without hunger had the lowest mean intakes of total vegetables and fruit, but the differences across food security status were not significant. When assessing differences by weight status, no significant differences were seen among mean total vegetable intakes; however, mean total fruit intake was significantly higher in at-risk-for-overweight children ( $P < 0.001$ ).

To identify the primary sources of fruit and vegetable intakes in US children and adolescents, individual food intake data were used to determine which foods were the leading contributors to total fruit and vegetable intakes. The leading source of vegetables was french fries, which accounted for more than 28% of total vegetable intake. Adolescents consumed significantly more vegetables as french fries than children aged 2 to 5 years and 6 to 11 years ( $P < 0.001$ , Table 1). Boys also consumed significantly more vegetables as french fries than girls ( $P = 0.028$ ). A nonsignificant trend for french-fry intake was noted, with the greatest intakes found in children living in food insecure with hunger households ( $P = 0.10$ ).

The leading source of total fruit was 100% fruit juice. Juice accounted for significantly more (>40%) of total fruit intake among 2- to 5-year-old children than 6- to 11-year-old and 12- to 18-year-old children ( $P = 0.006$ ). Boys consumed significantly more fruit juice than girls ( $P = 0.020$ , Table 1). Children living in households above 350% of the poverty level had the highest proportion of fruit as juice compared to children living in households between 130% to 350% of the poverty level ( $P = 0.015$ ). Similarly, children living in marginally food secure households had the highest intakes to total cups of fruit from juice compared to other food security categories, but the differences were not significant.

Table 2 presents the results of the logistic regression predicting those not meeting total fruit and total vegetable intake recommendations on a particular day. Six- to 11-year-old children were 2.7 and 1.5 times more likely than 2- to 5-year-olds to not meet MyPyramid fruit and vegetable intake recommendations, respectively. Adolescents were four times more likely to underconsume fruit and nearly 2.5 times more likely to underconsume vegetables. Mexican-American children were two thirds more likely to consume adequate amounts of fruit than non-Hispanic white children. Children from households >130% of the poverty-income ratio were 1.6 to 1.7 times more likely not to meet fruit intake recommendations.

These findings indicate that a large proportion of US children and adolescents were below the recommended fruit and vegetable intakes (23). Younger children consumed more fruit than older children and adolescents; however, vegetable intakes were lower. Johnston and colleagues (21) found that white potatoes contributed more than 30% of vegetable intake among US adults. Furthermore, only 8% of vegetables consumed by children and adolescents aged 2 to 19 years were dark green or orange, whereas fried potatoes constituted about 46% of total vegetable intakes, according to baseline data used by Healthy People 2010 (5). Higher intakes of french fries and lower intakes of fruits and vegetables have been

**Table 1.** Mean intakes of vegetables and fruits of US children by age, sex, body mass index (BMI), poverty status, food security, and race/ethnicity (1999-2002)

| Demographic characteristic          | Sample |      | Total fruit (cup equivalents)            | % of MyPyramid fruit recommendations | Fruit juice (cups)      | Total vegetable (cup equivalents) | % of MyPyramid vegetable recommendations | French fries (cups)    |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
|                                     | n      | %    |  |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| <b>Total sample</b>                 | —      | —    | 1.03±0.04                                | 76.8±2.6                             | 0.42±0.02               | 1.04±0.02                         | 54.8±1.1                                 | 0.13±0.00              |
| <b>Age category</b>                 |        |      | ←————— <i>mean±standard error</i> —————→ |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| 2-5 y                               | 1,202  | 21.2 | 1.29±0.06 <sup>x</sup>                   | 129.7±5.6 <sup>x</sup>               | 0.52±0.03 <sup>x</sup>  | 0.76±0.03 <sup>x</sup>            | 62.8±2.6 <sup>x</sup>                    | 0.11±0.01 <sup>x</sup> |
| 6-11 y                              | 1,838  | 36.9 | 0.99±0.05 <sup>y</sup>                   | 71.5±3.7 <sup>y</sup>                | 0.38±0.03 <sup>y</sup>  | 0.98±0.03 <sup>y</sup>            | 58.3±1.7 <sup>y</sup>                    | 0.10±0.01 <sup>x</sup> |
| 12-18 y                             | 3,473  | 41.9 | 0.93±0.05 <sup>y</sup>                   | 54.6±3.0 <sup>z</sup>                | 0.40±0.03 <sup>y</sup>  | 1.22±0.03 <sup>z</sup>            | 47.6±1.2 <sup>z</sup>                    | 0.16±0.01 <sup>y</sup> |
| <b>Sex</b>                          |        |      |  |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| Male                                | 3,289  | 51.0 | 1.07±0.05                                | 75.3±3.1                             | 0.46±0.03 <sup>x</sup>  | 1.10±0.03 <sup>x</sup>            | 54.2±1.5                                 | 0.14±0.01 <sup>x</sup> |
| Female                              | 3,224  | 49.0 | 0.98±0.04                                | 78.3±3.3                             | 0.38±0.02 <sup>y</sup>  | 0.98±0.03 <sup>y</sup>            | 55.4±1.7                                 | 0.11±0.01 <sup>y</sup> |
| <b>Race/ethnicity</b>               |        |      |  |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| Mexican American                    | 2,512  | 13.9 | 1.16±0.04 <sup>x</sup>                   | 88.8±2.8 <sup>x</sup>                | 0.46±0.03               | 1.06±0.04                         | 57.7±2.0                                 | 0.12±0.01              |
| Non-Hispanic white                  | 1,907  | 69.8 | 1.00±0.05 <sup>y</sup>                   | 74.3±3.5 <sup>y</sup>                | 0.40±0.03               | 1.04±0.03                         | 54.4±1.5                                 | 0.12±0.00              |
| Non-Hispanic African American       | 2,094  | 16.4 | 1.05±0.04 <sup>xy</sup>                  | 77.1±2.9 <sup>y</sup>                | 0.46±0.03               | 1.00±0.03                         | 53.7±1.7                                 | 0.14±0.01              |
| <b>BMI category<sup>a</sup></b>     |        |      |  |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| Normal weight                       | 4,263  | 69.8 | 1.02±0.05 <sup>x</sup>                   | 77.2±3.3 <sup>x</sup>                | 0.42±0.03               | 1.03±0.03                         | 54.9±1.4                                 | 0.12±0.00              |
| At risk for overweight              | 1,045  | 15.1 | 1.16±0.06 <sup>y</sup>                   | 85.8±4.4 <sup>x</sup>                | 0.42±0.04               | 1.03±0.04                         | 56.3±2.1                                 | 0.12±0.02              |
| Overweight                          | 1,205  | 15.1 | 0.94±0.05 <sup>x</sup>                   | 65.6±3.7 <sup>y</sup>                | 0.41±0.04               | 1.06±0.04                         | 52.5±2.3                                 | 0.15±0.01              |
| <b>Household income<sup>b</sup></b> |        |      |  |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| Lowest-130%                         | 2,600  | 32.3 | 0.99±0.06 <sup>xy</sup>                  | 74.6±4.2 <sup>x</sup>                | 0.41±0.04 <sup>xy</sup> | 1.05±0.03                         | 56.9±1.4                                 | 0.13±0.01              |
| 130%-350%                           | 2,120  | 37.8 | 0.93±0.06 <sup>x</sup>                   | 70.1±4.0 <sup>x</sup>                | 0.36±0.03 <sup>x</sup>  | 0.99±0.02                         | 53.6±1.6                                 | 0.12±0.01              |
| 350%-highest                        | 1,155  | 29.9 | 1.17±0.04 <sup>y</sup>                   | 85.3±3.0 <sup>y</sup>                | 0.46±0.03 <sup>y</sup>  | 1.08±0.04                         | 53.9±2.2                                 | 0.13±0.01              |
| <b>Household food security</b>      |        |      |  |                                      |                         |                                   |  |                        |
| Fully food secure                   | 4,089  | 75.6 | 1.04±0.04                                | 77.3±2.9                             | 0.42±0.03               | 1.05±0.03                         | 54.7±1.4                                 | 0.13±0.00              |
| Marginally food secure              | 638    | 7.3  | 1.06±0.09                                | 79.7±6.7                             | 0.57±0.07               | 1.01±0.05                         | 55.5±2.9                                 | 0.11±0.02              |
| Food insecure without hunger        | 1,037  | 10.9 | 0.94±0.06                                | 72.3±5.2                             | 0.37±0.03               | 0.98±0.04                         | 53.8±2.1                                 | 0.11±0.01              |
| Food insecure with hunger           | 487    | 6.2  | 1.00±0.14                                | 72.5±9.6                             | 0.39±0.08               | 1.04±0.04                         | 54.6±2.8                                 | 0.20±0.04              |

<sup>a</sup>Based on BMI percentiles from the 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention BMI-for-age growth charts (28).

<sup>b</sup>Annual household income, expressed as a percent of the federal poverty level.

<sup>xyz</sup>Means with different superscripts (x, y, z) indicate significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ).

associated with increased television viewing among children, especially during mealtimes (30,31).

Similarly, 100% fruit juice contributed more than 30% to total fruit intakes among 6- to 11-year-olds, while contributing more than 38% among 2- to 5-year-olds and 12- to 18-year-olds. The proportion of fruit juices contributing to total fruit intake among the different age categories partly explain this phenomenon because 2- to 5-year-old children consumed significantly higher fruit intakes than 6- to 11-year-olds and 12- to 18-year-olds. Furthermore, the percentage of children meeting fruit recommendations has been shown to decline with age (32). Recommendations from the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2005* specify that no more than half of fruit intakes should be obtained from fruit juice (22).

Among differing ethnicities, Mexican Americans consumed significantly more fruit than non-Hispanic white

children and adolescents. A recent study showed among Mexican-American food secure families, mothers' attitudes about availability of healthful eating were positively associated with children's fruit intake (33). Efforts should be made to promote fruit intakes in all children, especially in non-Hispanic white and African-American children.

Recent literature in childhood obesity indicates a disparity in diet quality, with overweight children presenting with less desirable food intake habits (34,35). In our study, overweight children and adolescents consumed less total fruit and more french fries than those that were normal weight or at risk for overweight. Our data did not indicate a difference in fruit juice intakes by weight status in a nationally representative sample; however, fruit juice intakes and risk of overweight remain a controversial issue due to findings from nonrepresentative sam-

**Table 2.** Percent and likelihood of US children not meeting MyPyramid fruit and vegetable recommendations on 1 day by age, sex, race, weight status, household income, and food security status

| Child demographic characteristics   | Not Meeting Fruit Intake Recommendations on 1 Day |  | Not Meeting Vegetable Intake Recommendations on 1 Day |                  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|------------------|
|                                     | %   | OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI <sup>b</sup> ) | %   | OR (95% CI)      |
| <b>Age category</b>                 |   |  |   |                  |
| 2-5 y                               | 50.2  | Referent                               | 78.3  | Referent         |
| 6-11 y                              | 74.1  | 2.78 (2.25,3.44)                       | 83.8  | 1.50 (1.25,1.80) |
| 12-18 y                             | 80.5  | 4.16 (3.34,5.17)                       | 89.5  | 2.44 (1.83,3.25) |
| <b>Sex</b>                          |   |  |   |                  |
| Male                                | 73.0  | Referent                               | 85.0  | Referent         |
| Female                              | 70.4  | 0.89 (0.72,1.09)                       | 85.1  | 1.02 (0.82,1.28) |
| <b>Race/ethnicity</b>               |   |  |   |                  |
| Non-Hispanic white                  | 72.7  | Referent                               | 85.2  | Referent         |
| Mexican American                    | 66.4  | 0.66 (0.54,0.81)                       | 82.9  | 0.83 (0.67,1.04) |
| Non-Hispanic African American       | 71.9  | 0.81 (0.60,1.08)                       | 86.1  | 0.96 (0.75,1.24) |
| <b>BMI category<sup>c</sup></b>     |   |  |   |                  |
| Normal weight                       | 71.3  | Referent                               | 85.0  | Referent         |
| At risk for overweight              | 70.5  | 0.90 (0.73,1.12)                       | 81.5  | 0.75 (0.57,1.01) |
| Overweight                          | 74.9  | 1.00 (0.79,1.26)                       | 88.6  | 1.26 (0.89,1.77) |
| <b>Household income<sup>d</sup></b> |   |  |   |                  |
| Lowest-130%                         | 72.2  | Referent                               | 84.9  | Referent         |
| 130%-350%                           | 75.2  | 1.60 (1.23,2.08)                       | 85.2  | 1.03 (0.77,1.36) |
| 350%-highest                        | 67.8  | 1.70 (1.40,2.07)                       | 85.0  | 1.07 (0.85,1.34) |
| <b>Household food security</b>      |   |  |   |                  |
| Fully food secure                   | 71.8  | Referent                               | 85.2  | Referent         |
| Marginally food secure              | 71.4  | 0.95 (0.70,1.28)                       | 85.3  | 1.08 (0.67,1.76) |
| Food insecure without hunger        | 71.5  | 0.91 (0.67,1.24)                       | 85.5  | 1.04 (0.76,1.41) |
| Food insecure with hunger           | 73.9  | 0.93 (0.54,1.62)                       | 85.3  | 1.07 (0.65,1.77) |

<sup>a</sup>OR=odds ratio.  
<sup>b</sup>CI=confidence interval.  
<sup>c</sup>BMI=body mass index. Based on BMI percentiles from the 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention BMI-for-age growth charts (28).  
<sup>d</sup>Represents the percent of the annual household family income compared to the federal poverty rate.

ples. A recent study by Melgar-Quinonez and Kaiser (6) found risk of overweight ( $\geq 85$ th body mass index percentile) was positively related to juice intake in Mexican-American preschool-aged children. Juice intakes ( $\geq 12$  fl oz) were also positively related to overweight in 2- and 5-year-old (97% white) children (36); no association between juice intake and overweight was seen in three other studies conducted with preschool-aged children (37-39).

Household income and limited financial resources have shown a compromising effect on diet quality in US households (40,41). There was a significant inverse association between household income and fruit juice intake, where those living in wealthier households had higher intakes from fruit juice than children and adolescents living in households below 350% the federal poverty level. Lower socioeconomic households with younger children may have been relying more on less-expensive vegetable sources, such as canned vegetables and french fries (42). Our findings are similar to those of Munoz and colleagues (41), who found fruit intakes were significantly higher in children from households above 130% of the federal poverty level.

Children living in food insecure households had higher proportions of french fries contributing to total vegetable intakes than children and adolescents living in fully food

secure households. Drewnowski (42) has explored the economics of obesity and has described the role of low-cost, energy-dense foods in households with limited incomes. Similarly, fruit intakes are commonly higher in households with greater household incomes.

There are several limitations to this study. The 24-hour recall method may suffer recall bias, limiting the accuracy or completeness of the information; however, dietary interviewers went through training to properly execute 24-hour recalls and used computer-assisted dietary interview software. Finally, given the cross-sectional nature of our data, differences across levels of key demographic variables do not indicate a causal nature for differences in fruit and vegetable intakes.

## CONCLUSIONS

Mean intakes by children and adolescents were below recommended levels of fruit and vegetable intakes. Children and adolescents most often not meeting MyPyramid recommendations were boys, older, and living in households between 130% and 350% of the federal poverty level. These children and adolescents should be targeted for nutrition interventions focusing on amounts and types of fruits and vegetables to consume. Nevertheless, there

is a common need among American children and adolescents for nutritional interventions designed to increase daily fruit and vegetable consumption. When counseling children, adolescents, and their parents/caregivers, food and nutrition professionals need to address factors that may influence fruit and vegetable intake, such as sex, age, race/ethnicity, and income.

## References

- Sandeno C, Wolf G, Drake T, Reicks M. Behavioral strategies to increase fruit and vegetable intake by fourth- through sixth-grade students. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2000;100:828-830.
- Mannino ML, Lee Y, Mitchell DC, Smiciklas-Wright H, Birch LL. The quality of girls' diets declines and tracks across middle childhood. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2004;27:1-5.
- Faith MS, Dennison BA, Edmunds LS, Stratton HH. Fruit juice intake predicts increased adiposity gain in children from low-income families: Weight status-by-environment interaction. *Pediatrics.* 2006; 118:2066-2075.
- Guenther P, Dodd K, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith S. Most Americans eat much less than recommended amounts of fruits and vegetables. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106:1371-1379.
- Healthy People 2010. Washington DC. US Department of Health and Human Services Web site. <http://www.healthypeople.gov>. Accessed October 2, 2004.
- Melgar-Quinonez HR, Kaiser LL. Relationship of child-feeding practices to overweight in low-income Mexican-American preschool-aged children. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2004;104:1110-1119.
- Cooke LJ, Wardle J, Gibson EL, Sapochnik M, Sheiham A, Lawson M. Demographic, familial and trait predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption by pre-school children. *Public Health Nutr.* 2004;7:295-302.
- Perry CL, Bishop DB, Taylor GL, Davis M, Story M, Gray C, Bishop SC, Mays RA, Lytle LA, Harnack L. A randomized school trial of environmental strategies to encourage fruit and vegetable consumption among children. *Health Educ Behav.* 2004;31:65-76.
- Steinmetz KA, Potter JD. Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: A review. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1996;96:1027-1039.
- Bueno De Mesquita HB, Maisonneuve P, Runia S, Moerman CJ. Intake of foods and nutrients and cancer of the exocrine pancreas: A population-based case-control study in the Netherlands. *Int J Cancer.* 1991;48:540-549.
- Cohen JH, Kristal AR, Standord JL. Fruit and vegetable intakes and prostate cancer risk. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000;92:61-68.
- Gupta PC, Hebert JR, Bhonsle RB, Sinor PN, Mehta H, Mehta FS. Dietary factors in oral leukoplakia and submucous fibrosis in a population-based case control study in Gujarat, India. *Oral Dis.* 1998;4: 200-206.
- Jain MG, Hislop GT, Howe GR, Ghadirian P. Plant foods, antioxidants, and prostate cancer risk: Findings from case-control studies in Canada. *Nutr Cancer.* 1999;34:173-184.
- Levi F, Pasche C, LaVecchia C, Lucchini F, Franceschi S. Food groups and colorectal cancer risk. *Br J Cancer.* 1999;79:1283-1287.
- Michaud DS, Spiegelman D, Clinton SK, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. Fruit and vegetable intake and incidence of bladder cancer in a male prospective cohort. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1999;91:605-613.
- Steinmetz KA, Potter JD, Folsom AR. Vegetables, fruit, and lung cancer in the Iowa Women's Health Study. *Cancer Res.* 1993;53:536-543.
- Verhoeven DTH, Godbohm RA, van Poppel G, Verhagen H, van den Brandt PA. Epidemiological studies on brassica vegetables and cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 1996;5:733-748.
- Witte JS, Longnecker MP, Bird CL, Lee ER, Frankl HD, Haile RW. Relation of vegetable, fruit, and grain consumption to colorectal adenomatous polyps. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1996;144:1015-1025.
- Nanney MS, Haire-Joshu D, Hessler K, Brownson RC. Rationale for a consistent "powerhouse" approach to vegetable and fruit messages. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2004;104:352-356.
- Hampel JS, Taylor CA, Johnston CS. Intakes of vitamin C, vegetables and fruits: Which schoolchildren are at risk? *J Am Coll Nutr.* 1999; 18:582-590.
- Johnston CS, Taylor CA, Hampel JS. More Americans are eating "5 a day" but intakes of dark green and cruciferous vegetables remain low. *J Nutr.* 2000;130:3063-3067.
- Nutrition and Your Health: Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2005.* 6th ed. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 2005.
- Britten P, Marcoe K, Yamini S, Davis C. Development of food intake patterns for the MyPyramid food guidance system. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2006;38(suppl):S78-S92.
- US Department of Agriculture. *MyPyramid Equivalents Database for USDA Survey Food Codes, version 2.0.* Beltsville, MD: Agricultural Research Service, Food Surveys Research Group; 2007.
- Wells HF, Buzby JC. Dietary assessment of major trends in US food consumption, 1970-2005. USDA Economic Research Service Publications Web site. <http://www.ers.usda.gov/Publications/EIB33>. Accessed May 12, 2008.
- Storey ML, Forshee RA, Anderson PA. Beverage consumption in the US population. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106:1992-2000.
- Nicklas TA, O'Neil CE, Kleinman R. Association between 100% juice consumption and nutrient intake and weight of children aged 2 to 11 years. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2008;162:557-565.
- Kuczumarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Wei R, Curtin LR, Roche AF, Johnson CL. 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: Methods and development. *Vital Health Stat 11.* 2002;246:1-190.
- Bickel G, Nord M, Price C, Hamilton W, Cook J. *Guide to Measuring Household Food Security.* Alexandria, VA: US Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service; 2000.
- Coon KA, Goldberg J, Rogers BL, Tucker KL. Relationships between use of television during meals and children's food consumption patterns. *Pediatrics.* 2001;107:E7.
- Wiecha JL, Peterson KE, Ludwig DS, Kim J, Sobol A, Gortmaker SL. When children eat what they watch: Impact of television viewing on dietary intake in youth. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2006;160:436-442.
- Forshee RA, Storey ML. Total beverage consumption and beverage choices among children and adolescents. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* 2003; 54:297-307.
- Matheson DM, Robinson TN, Varady A, Killen JD. Do Mexican-American mothers' food-related parenting practices influence their children's weight and dietary intake? *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2006;106: 1861-1865.
- Dehghan M, Akhtar-Danesh N, Merchant AT. Childhood obesity, prevalence, and prevention. *Nutr J.* 2005;4:2.
- Ludwig DS, Peterson KE, Gortmaker SL. Relation between consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and childhood obesity: A prospective, observational analysis. *Lancet.* 2001;357:505-508.
- Dennison BA, Rockwell HL, Baker SL. Excess fruit juice consumption by preschool-aged children is associated with short stature and obesity. *Pediatrics.* 1997;99:15-22.
- Skinner JD, Carruth BR, Moran J 3rd, Houck K, Coletta F. Fruit juice intake is not related to children's growth. *Pediatrics.* 1999; 103:58-64.
- Newby PK, Peterson KE, Berkey CS, Leppert J, Willett WC, Colditz GA. Beverage consumption is not associated with changes in weight and body mass index among low-income preschool children in North Dakota. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2004;104:1086-1094.
- O'Connor TM, Yang SJ, Nicklas TA. Beverage intake among preschool children and its effect on weight status. *Pediatrics.* 2006;118: e1010-e1018.
- Casey PH, Simpson PM, Gossett JM, Bogle ML, Champagne CM, Connell C, Harsha D, McCabe-Sellers B, Robbins JM, Stuff JE, Weber J. The association of child and household food insecurity with childhood overweight status. *Pediatrics.* 2006;118:e1406-e1413.
- Munoz K, Krebs-Smith S, Ballard-Barbash R, Cleveland L. Food intakes of US children and adolescents compared with recommendations. *Pediatrics.* 1997;100:323-329.
- Drewnowski A, Darmon N. The economics of obesity: Dietary energy density and energy cost. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005;82(suppl):265S-273S.